



**BUSINESS SCHOOL**

## **Economic Policy Centre**

The University of Auckland Business School

# **On determinants of national suicide rates: Evidence from Bayesian model averaging**

**Alexandre Dmitriev**

**December 2023**

**Economic Policy Centre  
WORKING PAPER NO. 020**

# On determinants of national suicide rates: Evidence from Bayesian model averaging

Alexandre Dmitriev\*

University of Auckland

December 2023

## Abstract

We aim to establish relative importance of socioeconomic, demographic, geographic and other determinants of national suicide rates. To this aim we apply Bayesian model averaging (BMA) approach to a dataset of 27 potential determinants in a cross-section of 173 countries. Life expectancy at birth, ambient temperature, age dependency ratio, and religious affiliation were found to be the most robust protective factors. Life expectancy at age 65 and unemployment rate are the most robust determinants that are positively associated with suicide mortality.

*Keywords:* Model uncertainty; Bayesian Model Averaging; Socioeconomic suicide determinants

*JEL Codes:* I15, C11

---

\*Department of Economics, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand. Email: [a.dmitriev@auckland.ac.nz](mailto:a.dmitriev@auckland.ac.nz)

# 1 Introduction

Suicide is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Globally, more people die due to suicide than to malaria, HIV/AIDS, war or homicide (World Health Organization, 2021), and multiple risk and protective factors underly suicide prevalence (Fazel and Runeson, 2020). This study aims to establish relative importance of 27 factors as potential determinants of national suicide rates. The variables that we consider fall into four broad categories: geo-climate (e.g., average annual temperature or precipitation), macroeconomic (e.g., GDP per capita or unemployment rate), demographic (e.g., life expectancy or population density), and sociocultural (e.g., internet usage or alcohol consumption per capita).

A search for a satisfactory statistical model of suicide mortality often involves the identification of appropriate variables, lag structure and functional forms. To deal with the large number of potential variables, model selection is often used to find a parsimonious model. Instead of attempting to select a single “correct model” out of the available set of statistical models, this study relies on the model averaging approach. Model averaging is an alternative that combines inferences from multiple models and incorporates model uncertainty. Prominent overviews of model averaging techniques can be found in Raftery (1995) and Hoeting et al. (1999), whereas more recent advances and applications are reviewed in Moral-Benito (2015) and Steel (2020).

Model averaging techniques are often used where the large number of potential determinants is confronted with the limited number of observations (Steel, 2020; Clyde, 2000). This approach suits the empirical research on suicide mortality, where only 183 observations are available at the national level, whereas literature proposes numerous factors affecting it (Fazel and Runeson, 2020; Chen et al., 2012). A dimensionality reduction approach alternative to ours is to rely on model selection techniques, such as least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO). For instance, Rockett et al. (2022) apply LASSO to identify important suicide factors among 33 variables

measured at US state level.

We employ Bayesian model averaging (BMA) to a dataset covering 27 potential determinants of suicide in a cross-section of 173 countries. BMA computes an unconditional estimate of the parameter of interest as the weighted average of conditional estimates across all possible models. The robustness of a given explanatory variable can be assessed on the basis of posterior statistics, such as posterior inclusion probability (PIP). We consider both crude and age-standardised suicide rates, total and stratified by sex.

BMA has been widely used in a range of applications from vaccine effectiveness studies (Oliveira et al., 2022) to health effects studies for particulate matter (Clyde, 2000). Applications of BMA to determine the drivers of complex socioeconomic phenomena extend to economic growth (Brock and Durlauf, 2001), political polarisation (Grechyna, 2016), foreign aid (Bayale, 2022). To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first attempt to apply model averaging to the determinants of suicide mortality.

## 2 Data

We compiled a dataset covering 27 potential suicide determinants across 173 countries with publicly available data. The year 2016 served as the basis. All six suicide mortality variables we considered, along with the 27 variables serving as proxies for suicide determinants, represent aggregated data at the national level. Definitions of the variables and the sources of data are presented in the Supplementary Appendix, Table S.1. Each of the 33 variables used in the analysis has 173 observations corresponding to national aggregates for the 173 countries listed in Table S.3. Table S.2 shows their key summary statistics. As a robustness check, we also considered three-year averages of suicide rates to stabilise the data.

## 2.1 Dependent variable: Suicide rate

53

We considered two measures of suicide mortality at the country level that have been reported by the World Health Organization (2021): crude suicide rate and age-standardised suicide rate. Crude suicide rate is defined as the number of deaths from suicide per 100,000 population. Age-standardised suicide rate is defined as the weighted average of the age-specific suicide rates. The weights are based on country-invariant population age profile defined by the WHO as “standard.” They represent the proportions of persons in the corresponding age groups of the standardised population.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

We considered total suicide rates as well as male and female suicide rates. In our sample of 173 countries, the mean total suicide rate in 2016 was 9.38 deaths per 100,000 persons. The mean male suicide rate was approximately three times higher than the female suicide rate at 13.97 and 4.90 deaths per 100,000 persons, respectively.

62

63

64

65

## 2.2 Potential suicide determinants

66

Table 1 presents the 27 potential suicide determinants selected as regressors for the model averaging approach in the present study. Each explanatory variable is accompanied by references to selected studies that have used it in suicide regressions. These variables have received considerable attention in the literature (see e.g. Fazel and Runeson (2020) and Chen et al. (2012) for detailed reviews).

67

68

69

70

71

The potential factors of suicide prevalence were divided into four broad categories. The first category involves variables related to geographic and climate conditions that might influence suicidal behaviours (An et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2019). These variables include average annual temperature and precipitation, maximum monthly temperature and population weighted latitude in absolute value.

72

73

74

75

76

The second group includes macroeconomic factors that have been associated with suicide prevalence (Meda et al., 2022; Breuer, 2015). Some of those, such as GDP per capita, fraction of population employed in agriculture and female labor force par-

77

78

79

Table 1: Potential suicide determinants

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Related Studies</b>
<b>Geo-climate factors</b>	
Absolute latitude	An et al. (2023)
Average temperature	Neumayer (2003)
Max. monthly temp.	Fountoulakis et al. (2016)
Precipitation	Fountoulakis et al. (2016)
<b>Macroeconomic factors</b>	
Employment in agriculture	Milner et al. (2012)
Female labor force part.	Jalles and Andresen (2015)
GDP growth	Bussu et al. (2013)
GDP per capita	Meda et al. (2022)
Inflation	Lari and Emamgholipour (2023)
Unemployment	Botha and Nguyen (2022)
<b>Demographic factors</b>	
Age dependency ratio	Matsubayashi and Ueda (2011)
Divorce prevalence	Cai et al. (2022)
Fertility	Okada and Samreth (2013)
International migration	Jalles and Andresen (2015)
Life expectancy at age 65	Breuer (2015)
Life expectancy at birth	Wu and Bond (2006)
Population aged 65+	Milner et al. (2012)
Population density	Oka et al. (2015)
Population growth	Mobley and Taasoobshirazi (2022)
Population sex ratio	Wu and Bond (2006)
Urban population	Ilgun et al. (2020)
<b>Socio-cultural factors</b>	
Alcohol consumption	Ilgun et al. (2020)
Internet usage	Lari and Emamgholipour (2023)
Christianity	Wu et al. (2022)
Islam	Neumayer (2003)
Religiously unaffiliated	Wu et al. (2022)

Note: See Table S.1-S.3 of the Supplementary Appendix for variable definitions, data sources, and the list of 173 countries included

icipation represent common measures of economic development and modernization. 80

Other variables, such as unemployment, GDP growth and inflation, proxy for economic outlook and associated uncertainty. 81  
82

The last two groups cover a range of demographic and socio-cultural variables. To 83  
a varying degree, these variables are associated with often complementary sociologi- 84

cal, economic and medical perspectives on suicide. For example, measures of fertility, 85  
divorce prevalence or religiosity are often linked to Durkheim’s notions of ”social in- 86  
tegration” and ”social regulation” as sociological forces affecting suicide (Stack, 2000; 87  
Motillon-Toudic et al., 2022). Alcohol consumption might affect suicidal behavior 88  
by interfering with several neurotransmitter systems, such as GABA and serotonin 89  
(Isaacs et al., 2022). Life expectancy at birth is the key variable associated with 90  
economic theories that view suicide as an individual ”rational” decision (Chen et al., 91  
2012). 92

Our choice of regressors for BMA was influenced by two additional considerations: 93  
data availability and computing time. First, aimed to include in the sample as many 94  
of the 183 countries for which comparable suicide data are available from the WHO. 95  
Second, the dimensionality of the model space grows exponentially with the number 96  
of variables, due to which computing time is a limiting factor. 97

### 3 Method 98

We identify the underlying factors that explain suicide mortality by using a BMA 99  
approach within a context of a linear regression model (see Luca and Magnus (2011) 100  
and Magnus et al. (2010) for detailed description). Inference is based on the posterior 101  
distribution of the parameter of interest, which is a weighted average of posterior dis- 102  
tributions under the various models weighted by posterior model probabilities (Steel, 103  
2020). 104

In this study, BMA considers  $2^{27}$  regression models based on 173 observations 105  
on suicide rates ( $n = 173$ ) and 27 regressors ( $k = 27$ ). It obtains  $i$ -th model  $M_i$  by 106  
including a subset of regressors and estimates posterior mean coefficients as a weighted 107  
average of the estimates conditional on model  $M_i$ . Model weights representing the 108  
probability that  $M_i$  is the ”true” model given the data  $p(M_i|y)$ , are based on both 109  
prior probabilities  $p(M_i)$  and observed data,  $y$ . 110

Posterior variance estimators take into account model uncertainty arising from 111

both parameter estimation and model selection. The probability that a variable belongs to the "true" model, also known as posterior inclusion probability (PIP), is defined as the sum of the posterior probabilities of the model specifications  $p(M_i|y)$ , which contain that particular variable. Importance of a specific variable in BMA applications is most often measured by its PIP (Steel, 2020; Moral-Benito, 2015).

An equal prior probability,  $p(M_i) = 2^{-k}$ , was assigned for each model  $M_i$  in this study, thus not prioritizing any variables associated with any particular theory and allowing BMA find the most probable ones. We used the Stata implementation of BMA developed by Luca and Magnus (2011) which relies on  $g$ -priors. In particular, it follows Fernández et al. (2001) by selecting the same  $g_i = 1/\max(n, k)$  for all models  $M_i$  (Magnus et al., 2010).

## 4 Results and discussion

Tables 2 and 3 present the most important determinants of crude and age-standardised suicide rate identified by BMA. Three key statistics are shown for each explanatory variable: PIP, unconditional (posterior) mean and ratio of posterior mean to standard deviation ( $t$ -staticics). Variables are ranked according to PIP, which indicates the extent to which a variable is a robust determinant of suicide rate. Values of  $PIP > 0.5$  indicate evidence for a regressor, whereas values of  $PIP > 0.75$  indicates positive/strong evidence (Raftery, 1995). Estimation results for all 27 potential determinants are presented in Tables S.4 and S.5 of the Supplementary Appendix. The ratio of posterior mean to standard error in absolute terms ( $t$ -ratio) can serve as an alternative measure of robustness:  $t > 1$  is roughly equivalent to  $PIP > 0.5$  (Masanjala and Papageorgiou, 2008).

Depending on the measure of mortality and the level of disaggregation, several variables proved to be robust determinants of suicide. Out of 27 potentials determinants, we found no evidence in favour of 17 regressors for all six mortality measures.



Table 2: Robust determinants of crude suicide rates

<b>Variables</b>	<b>PIP</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>t-statistic</b>
<b>Total suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	0.999	-0.649	-3.859
Population aged 65+	0.950	0.526	2.722
Age dependency ratio	0.889	-0.124	-2.206
Unemployment	0.822	-0.164	-1.713
Average annual temperature	0.730	-0.142	-1.332
Alcohol consumption per capita	0.521	0.215	0.906
<b>Male suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	0.961	-0.791	-2.952
Alcohol consumption per capita	0.886	0.779	2.040
Population aged 65+	0.820	0.654	1.706
Age dependency ratio	0.814	-0.177	-1.730
Unemployment	0.799	-0.265	-1.621
Average annual temperature	0.679	-0.223	-1.119
<b>Female suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	1.000	-0.456	-3.946
Christianity	0.958	-0.039	-2.997
Islam	0.920	-0.038	-2.382
Population aged 65+	0.770	0.180	1.491
Life expectancy at age 65	0.746	0.540	1.421
Average annual temperature	0.501	-0.065	-0.883

Notes: Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), unconditional (posterior) mean, and the ratio of posterior mean to standard deviation ( $t$ -staticics) are reported for each robust regressor (PIP > 0.5). Values of PIP > 0.5 indicate evidence for a regressor, whereas values of PIP > 0.75 indicates positive/strong evidence (Raftery, 1995).

#### 4.1 Life expectancy at birth and life expectancy at age 65

138

The most robust variable among the potential suicide determinants is life expectancy at birth. It is the only variable that exhibits strong evidence of robustness (PIP > 0.95) for all measures of suicide mortality. Higher life expectancy at birth is associated with lower male, female and total crude or age-standardised suicide rates.

139

140

141

142

This finding offers some empirical support to the permanent income view of suicide advocated Hamermesh and Soss (1974). Their theoretical framework postulates that the likelihood of suicides diminishes with higher life expectancy or income per capita. However, our empirical support is only partial. First, we found no evidence that

143

144

145

146

Table 3: Robust determinants of age-standardised suicide rates

<b>Variables</b>	<b>PIP</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>t-statistic</b>
<b>Total suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	1.000	-1.876	-5.970
Life expectancy at 65	0.978	2.500	3.312
Unemployment	0.743	0.247	1.413
Age dependency ratio	0.679	-0.133	-1.265
Average annual temperature	0.640	-0.251	-1.183
<b>Male suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	1.000	-3.061	-5.339
Life expectancy at 65	0.943	3.778	2.635
Unemployment	0.886	0.558	2.068
Age dependency ratio	0.725	-0.248	-1.395
Islam	0.629	-0.064	-1.106
Average annual temperature	0.546	-0.349	-0.979
<b>Female suicide rates</b>			
Life expectancy at birth	1.000	-0.728	-6.469
Life expectancy at 65	0.994	1.075	4.040
Average annual temperature	0.798	-0.132	-1.718
Employment in agriculture	0.557	-0.026	-0.977

Notes: Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), unconditional (posterior) mean, and the ratio of posterior mean to standard deviation ( $t$ -staticics) are reported for each robust regressor (PIP > 0.5). Values of PIP > 0.5 indicate evidence for a regressor, whereas values of PIP > 0.75 indicates positive/strong evidence (Raftery, 1995).

GDP per capita or its growth rate are robust determinants of suicide. Second, life 147  
 expectancy at age 65 was found to be the second most robust determinant of age- 148  
 standardised suicide rates (PIP > 0.94). Unlike life expectancy at birth, higher life 149  
 expectancy at age 65 is associated with higher male, female and total suicide rates. 150  
 This might indicate the importance of health-adjusted measures of life expectancy in 151  
 understanding suicide patterns. 152

## 4.2 Unemployment 153

Unemployment is the only macroeconomic variable that our application of BMA clas- 154  
 sified as a robust determinant of suicide. This conclusion applies to male and total 155

suicide rates, both crude and age-standardised. Unemployment positively correlates 156  
with age-standardised rates in the present study. This finding is consistent with Koo 157  
and Cox (2008), who extend the theory of Hamermesh and Soss (1974) to include 158  
human capital depreciation during unemployment spells. 159

Unemployment's relation with crude rate is the opposite. Adjustment for differ- 160  
ences in the age distribution reversed the sign, indicating the importance of disaggre- 161  
gation by age or potential nonlinearities (Antonakakis and Collins, 2018). 162

### 4.3 Ambient temperature 163

Average annual temperature was found to be a robust determinant of all six suicide 164  
rates. However, the evidence was weaker than that obtained for life expectancy ( $0.5 <$  165  
 $PIP < 0.8$  and  $|t| > 1$ ). In all cases, higher temperatures were associated with lower 166  
suicide rates, but the effects differed based on sex. 167

Our results contrasts with those of Fountoulakis et al. (2016), who reported a 168  
positive correlation between temperature and suicide in a sample of 29 European 169  
countries. This might indicate importance regional disparities or nonlinearities in 170  
the relationship between temperature and suicide. For examples, Kim et al. (2019) 171  
report inverted-J relationship between environmental temperature and suicide in their 172  
multi-country study. 173

### 4.4 Other robust regressors 174

Besides those discussed above, several factors proved to be robust determinants of 175  
at least one measure of suicide mortality. These factors are fraction of population 176  
aged 65+, age dependence ratio, alcohol consumption, employment in agriculture, 177  
and affiliations with Islam or Christianity. 178

We find support for age dependence ratio as a robust predictor of age-adjusted male 179  
and total suicide rates, both crude and age-standardised. In line with prior research, 180  
posterior coefficient estimates reveals that a higher ratio of the dependent population 181

to the working age population is associated with lower suicide mortality (Matsubayashi 182  
and Ueda, 2011). This result is consistent Durkheim’s notion of protective effects of 183  
social integration and family ties. 184

Alcohol consumption positively correlates with crude male and total suicide rates. 185  
This result is in line with a recent meta-analysis by Isaacs et al. (2022) which highlights 186  
alcohol use as a risk factor for death by suicide. However, alcohol consumption is 187  
not a statistically robust determinant of age-standardised measures of male suicide 188  
mortality. This suggest that association of alcohol consumption with male suicide 189  
mortality substantially varies depending on the age. 190

Consistent with protective effects of religiosity reviewed in Lawrence et al. (2016), 191  
we find that a higher proportion of Christians or Muslims decreases crude female rate. 192  
Moreover, a higher proportion of Muslims negatively correlates with age-standardised 193  
male suicide rates. Our results suggest substantial variability in the protective influ- 194  
ence of religiosity for different segments of population depending on age and sex. 195

We find some weak evidence ( $PIP = 0.557$ ) that a fraction of population em- 196  
ployed in agriculture is a robust determinant negatively correlated with female age- 197  
standardised rates. While population aged 65+ was found to be statistically robust 198  
for all crude rates, this result did not apply to age-standardised rates. 199

## 4.5 Robustness and Limitations of the Study 200

To enhance the validity of our findings, we address two potential concerns. Firstly, 201  
given the low probability of occurrence for events such as suicide, relying on a short 202  
observation period could lead to erroneous inferences. To mitigate this concern, we 203  
replicate our analysis using the three-year average of age-standardized suicide rates 204  
(2015-2017). Table S.8 in the Supplementary Appendix confirms the stability of the 205  
BMA estimates for total, male, and female suicide rates. 206

Secondly, our measure of population density is based on the total land area rather 207  
than habitable land. To address this potential issue, we re-estimate all the specifica- 208

tions after replacing population density with an alternative measure that takes into 209  
account uninhabitable areas. We use physiological or real population density, which 210  
is defined as the number of people per unit of arable land area. Tables S.6 and S.7 in 211  
the Supplementary Appendix show that our results are robust to this change in the 212  
definition of the variable that proxies for population density. 213

Our analysis has several limitations besides its ecological design. First, we focused 214  
on a cross-section of countries rather than a panel due to data availability. Second, 215  
because our study uses national-level data, it is susceptible to potential cross-level bias. 216  
For example, per capita alcohol consumption, identified as a factor associated with 217  
suicide mortality, may vary significantly across different groups. Research based on 218  
more granular data, for example individual-level data, is better positioned to mitigate 219  
this potential issue (see Isaacs et al. (2022) and references therein). Third, we included 220  
only a limited number of potential determinants due to the computing time required 221  
for BMA. Finally, we did not consider nonlinear effects or the effects of lagged variables 222  
due to both data availability and computing time requirements. We intend to address 223  
some of these challenges in future research. 224

## 5 Conclusion 225

Life expectancy at birth, ambient temperature, age dependency ratio, and religious 226  
affiliation were found to be the most statistically robust protective factors. Life ex- 227  
pectancy at age 65 and unemployment rate are the most robust determinants that 228  
are positively associated with suicide mortality. We document some variability in ro- 229  
bustness of suicide determinants depending on sex and age. However, robust factors 230  
maintain the signs of their association for both male and female suicide rates. 231

Due to the dimensionality of the problem and data availability, several important 232  
evidence-based predictors of suicide mortality have been left beyond the scope of this 233  
study. Some of these factors, discussed in Stack (2021) and Rockett et al. (2022), 234  
include metrics of political effort (such as spending on social welfare), measures of 235

availability of lethal means (such as firearms restriction laws), social isolation proxies 236  
(such as homelessness and incarceration rates), World Values Survey measures refer- 237  
ring to religious beliefs and behaviours as well as acceptance of suicide. Some of these 238  
factors could be used in future studies based on WHO national suicide mortality data. 239

WHO data that we use in this study, while facilitating understanding of global 240  
suicide mortality patterns, differ in their quality across several dimensions. For in- 241  
stance, given the illegality of suicidal behaviour in some countries, under-reporting 242  
or misclassification is likely to be a greater problems there for suicide than for other 243  
causes of death (Wu et al., 2022). On the other hand, the problem of undercount 244  
tends to be more severe for female rather than male suicides, given a disproportional 245  
use of poisons and drugs by females in their suicides (Rockett et al., 2020). 246

## References

- AN, S., S. LIM, H. W. KIM, H. S. KIM, D. LEE, E. SON, T. W. KIM, T. S. GOH, K. KIM,  
AND Y. H. KIM (2023): “Global prevalence of suicide by latitude: A systematic review and  
meta-analysis,” *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 81, 103454.
- ANTONAKAKIS, N. AND A. COLLINS (2018): “A suicidal Kuznets curve?” *Economics Letters*, 166,  
90–93.
- BAYALE, N. (2022): “Empirical Investigation into the Determinants of Foreign Aid in Sahel Coun-  
tries: A Panel Bayesian Model Averaging Approach,” *Defence and Peace Economics*, 33, 306–326,  
doi: 10.1080/10242694.2020.1827184.
- BOTHA, F. AND V. H. NGUYEN (2022): “Opposite nonlinear effects of unemployment and sentiment  
on male and female suicide rates: Evidence from Australia,” *Social Science & Medicine*, 292,  
114536.
- BREUER, C. (2015): “Unemployment and suicide mortality: Evidence from regional panel data in  
Europe,” *Health Economics*, 24, 936–950.
- BROCK, W. A. AND S. N. DURLAUF (2001): “Growth Empirics and Reality,” *The World Bank  
Economic Review*, 15, 229–272.
- BUSSU, A., C. DETOTTO, AND V. STERZI (2013): “Social conformity and suicide,” *The Journal of  
Socio-Economics*, 42, 67–78.
- CAI, Z., M. CHEN, P. YE, AND P. S. F. YIP (2022): “Socio-economic determinants of suicide  
rates in transforming China: A spatial-temporal analysis from 1990 to 2015,” *The Lancet Regional  
Health – Western Pacific*, 19.
- CHEN, J., Y. J. CHOI, K. MORI, Y. SAWADA, AND S. SUGANO (2012): “Socio-economic studies  
on suicide: A survey,” *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 26, 271–306.
- CLYDE, M. (2000): “Model uncertainty and health effect studies for particulate matter,” *Environ-  
metrics*, 11, 745–763.

- FAZEL, S. AND B. RUNESON (2020): “Suicide,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382, 266–274.
- FERNÁNDEZ, C., E. LEY, AND M. F. J. STEEL (2001): “Benchmark priors for Bayesian model averaging,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 100, 381–427.
- FOUNTOULAKIS, K. N., I. CHATZIKOSTA, K. PASTIADIS, P. ZANIS, W. KAWOHL, A. J. F. M. KERKHOF, A. NAVICKAS, C. HÖSCHL, D. LECIC-TOSEVSKI, E. SOREL, E. RANCANS, E. PALOVA, G. JUCKEL, G. ISACSSON, H. K. JAGODIC, I. BOTEZAT-ANTONESCU, J. RYBAKOWSKI, J. M. AZORIN, J. COOKSON, J. WADDINGTON, P. PREGELJ, K. DEMYTTENAERE, L. G. HRANOV, L. I. STEVOVIC, L. PEZAWAS, M. ADIDA, M. L. FIGUERA, M. JAKOVLJEVIĆ, M. VICHI, G. PERUGI, O. A. ANDREASSEN, O. VUKOVIC, P. MAVROGIORGOU, P. VARNIK, P. DOME, P. WINKLER, R. K. R. SALOKANGAS, T. FROM, V. DANILEVICIUTE, X. GONDA, Z. RIHMER, J. FORSMAN, A. GRADY, T. HYPHANTIS, I. DIESET, S. SOENDERGAARD, M. POMPILI, AND P. BECH (2016): “Relationship of suicide rates with climate and economic variables in Europe during 2000–2012,” *Annals of General Psychiatry*, 15, 19.
- GRECHYNA, D. (2016): “On the determinants of political polarization,” *Economics Letters*, 144, 10–14.
- HAMERMESH, D. S. AND N. M. SOSS (1974): “An economic theory of suicide,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 82, 83–98.
- HOETING, J. A., D. MADIGAN, A. E. RAFTERY, AND C. T. VOLINSKY (1999): “Bayesian Model Averaging: A Tutorial,” *Statistical Science*, 14, 382–401.
- ILGUN, G., B. YETIM, S. DEMIRCI, AND M. KONCA (2020): “Individual and socio-demographic determinants of suicide: An examination on WHO countries,” *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66, 124–128.
- ISAACS, J. Y., M. M. SMITH, S. B. SHERRY, M. SENO, M. L. MOORE, AND S. H. STEWART (2022): “Alcohol use and death by suicide: A meta-analysis of 33 studies,” *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 52, 600–614.
- JALLES, J. T. AND M. A. ANDRESEN (2015): “The social and economic determinants of suicide in Canadian provinces,” *Health Economics Review*, 5, 1.
- KIM, Y., H. KIM, A. GASPARRINI, B. ARMSTRONG, Y. HONDA, Y. CHUNG, C. F. S. NG, A. TOBIAS, C. ÍÑIGUEZ, E. LAVIGNE, F. SERA, A. M. VICEDO-CABRERA, M. S. RAGETTLI, N. SCOVRONICK, F. ACQUAOTTA, B.-Y. CHEN, Y.-L. L. GUO, X. SEPOSO, T. N. DANG, M. D. S. Z. S. COELHO, P. H. N. SALDIVA, A. KOSHELEVA, A. ZANOBETTI, J. SCHWARTZ, M. L. BELL, AND M. HASHIZUME (2019): “Suicide and Ambient Temperature: A Multi-Country Multi-City Study,” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 127, 117007.
- KOO, J. AND W. M. COX (2008): “An economic interpretation of suicide cycles in Japan,” *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 26, 162–174.
- LARI, M. AND S. EMAMGHOLIPOUR (2023): “Socio-economic, health and environmental factors influencing suicide rates: A cross-country study in the Eastern Mediterranean region,” *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 93, 102463.
- LAWRENCE, R. E., M. A. OQUENDO, AND B. STANLEY (2016): “Religion and Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review,” *Archives of Suicide Research*, 20, 1–21, doi: 10.1080/13811118.2015.1004494.
- LUCA, G. D. AND J. R. MAGNUS (2011): “Bayesian model averaging and weighted-average least squares: Equivariance, stability, and numerical issues,” *Stata Journal*, 11, 518–544.
- MAGNUS, J. R., O. POWELL, AND P. PRUFER (2010): “A comparison of two model averaging techniques with an application to growth empirics,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 154, 139–153.

- MASANJALA, W. H. AND C. PAPAGEORGIU (2008): “Rough and lonely road to prosperity: a reexamination of the sources of growth in Africa using Bayesian model averaging,” *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 23, 671–682.
- MATSUBAYASHI, T. AND M. UEDA (2011): “The effect of national suicide prevention programs on suicide rates in 21 OECD nations,” *Social Science & Medicine*, 73, 1395–1400.
- MEDA, N., A. MIOLA, I. SLONGO, M. A. ZORDAN, AND F. SAMBATARO (2022): “The impact of macroeconomic factors on suicide in 175 countries over 27 years,” *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 52, 49–58.
- MILNER, A., R. MCCLURE, AND D. DE LEO (2012): “Socio-economic determinants of suicide: an ecological analysis of 35 countries,” *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 47, 19–27.
- MOBLEY, K. AND G. TAASOOSHIRAZI (2022): “Predicting suicide in counties: creating a quantitative measure of suicide risk,” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, 8173.
- MORAL-BENITO, E. (2015): “MODEL AVERAGING IN ECONOMICS: AN OVERVIEW,” *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29, 46–75.
- MOTILLON-TOUDIC, C., M. WALTER, M. SÉGUIN, J.-D. CARRIER, S. BERROUIGUET, AND C. LEMEY (2022): “Social isolation and suicide risk: Literature review and perspectives,” *European Psychiatry*, 65, e65.
- NEUMAYER, E. (2003): “Are socioeconomic factors valid determinants of suicide? Controlling for national cultures of suicide with fixed-effects estimation,” *Cross-Cultural Research*, 37, 307–329.
- OKA, M., T. KUBOTA, H. TSUBAKI, AND K. YAMAUCHI (2015): “Analysis of impact of geographic characteristics on suicide rate and visualization of result with Geographic Information System,” *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 69, 375–382.
- OKADA, K. AND S. SAMRETH (2013): “A study on the socio-economic determinants of suicide: Evidence from 13 European OECD countries,” *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 45, 78–85.
- OLIVEIRA, C. R., E. D. SHAPIRO, AND D. M. WEINBERGER (2022): “Bayesian Model Averaging to Account for Model Uncertainty in Estimates of a Vaccine’s Effectiveness,” *Clinical Epidemiology*, 14, 1167–1175.
- RAFTERY, A. E. (1995): “Bayesian model selection in social research,” *Sociological Methodology*, 25, 111–163.
- ROCKETT, I. R. H., E. D. CAINE, H. S. CONNERY, K. B. NOLTE, P. S. NESTADT, S. N. LEWIS, AND J. HAOMIAO (2020): “Unrecognised self-injury mortality (SIM) trends among racial/ethnic minorities and women in the USA,” *Injury Prevention*, 26, 439.
- ROCKETT, I. R. H., H. JIA, B. ALI, A. BANERJEE, H. S. CONNERY, K. B. NOLTE, T. MILLER, F. M. M. WHITE, B. D. DIGREGORIO, G. L. LARKIN, S. STACK, K. KÖLVES, R. K. MCHUGH, V. O. LULLA, J. COSSMAN, D. DE LEO, B. HENDRICKS, P. S. NESTADT, J. H. BERRY, G. D’ONOFRIO, AND E. D. CAINE (2022): “Association of State Social and Environmental Factors With Rates of Self-injury Mortality and Suicide in the United States,” *JAMA Network Open*, 5, e2146591–e2146591.
- STACK, S. (2000): “Suicide: A 15-Year Review of the Sociological Literature Part I: Cultural and Economic Factors,” *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 30, 145–162.
- (2021): “Contributing factors to suicide: Political, social, cultural and economic,” *Preventive Medicine*, 152, 106498.



- STEEL, M. F. J. (2020): “Model averaging and its use in economics,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 58, 644–719.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2021): “Suicide worldwide in 2019: global health estimates,” Tech. rep., Geneva.
- WU, K. C.-C., Z. CAI, Q. CHANG, S.-S. CHANG, P. S. F. YIP, AND Y.-Y. CHEN (2022): “Criminalisation of suicide and suicide rates: an ecological study of 171 countries in the world,” *BMJ Open*, 12, e049425.
- WU, W. C. H. AND M. H. BOND (2006): “National differences in predictors of suicide among young and elderly citizens: Linking societal predictors to psychological factors,” *Archives of Suicide Research*, 10, 45–60.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX**

to

### **ON DETERMINANTS OF NATIONAL SUICIDE RATES: EVIDENCE FROM BAYESIAN MODEL AVERAGING**

Alexandre Dmitriev

University of Auckland\*

#### **Abstract**

This Supplementary Appendix contains tables S.1-S.8 and references that describe the dataset we compiled for use in “On Determinants of National Suicide Rates: Evidence from Bayesian Model Averaging”

---

\* Email: [a.dmitriev@auckland.ac.nz](mailto:a.dmitriev@auckland.ac.nz); Address: Department of Economics, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand.

Table S.1. Data description and sources.

Variable	Description	Sources
<b>A. Suicide rates</b>		
Crude suicide rate, total	Suicide mortality rate, both sexes (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
Crude suicide rate, male	Suicide mortality rate, male (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
Crude suicide rate, female	Suicide mortality rate, female (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
Age-standardized suicide rate, total	Age-standardized suicide mortality, both sexes (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
Age-standardized suicide rate, male	Age-standardized suicide mortality, male (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
Age-standardized suicide rate, female	Age-standardized suicide mortality, female (deaths per 100,000 population)	World Health Organization (2021)
<b>B. Geo-climate variables</b>		
Absolute Latitude	Latitude, absolute value, population weighted (degrees)	Edwards et al. (2021)
Average temperature	Average temperature, annual average (°C)	Harris et al. (2020)
Maximum monthly temperature	Maximum of monthly averaged temperatures (°C)	Harris et al. (2020)
Precipitation	Average precipitation in depth (meters per year)	World Bank, World Development Indicators (2023b)
<b>C. Macroeconomic variables</b>		
Employment in agriculture	Employment in agriculture (% of total employment)	International Labour Organization (2021a)
Female labor force participation	Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15+)	International Labour Organization (2021b)
GDP growth	Annual percentage rate of change of GDP in constant prices (%)	International Monetary Fund (2022)
GDP per capita	GDP per capita, PPP (in thousands of constant 2017 international \$)	International Monetary Fund (2022)
Inflation	Inflation, consumer prices, annual (%)	International Monetary Fund (2022)
Unemployment	Unemployment, total (% of total labor force)	International Labour Organization (2021c)
<b>D. Demographic variables</b>		
Age dependency ratio	Ratio of dependents, ages <15 or >64, to the working-age population, ages 15-64 (%)	World Bank, World Development Indicators (2023a)
Divorce (male and female)	Divorced and not remarried persons as a proportion of the total population (%)	United Nations (2019)
Fertility rate	Fertility rate, total (births per woman)	UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2022)
International migration	International migrant stock as a proportion of the total population (%)	United Nations (2017)
Life expectancy at 65	Life expectancy at age 65 (years)	United Nations (2022)
Life expectancy at birth	Life expectancy at birth (years)	UNDP (2022)
Population aged 65+	Population ages 65 and above (% of total population)	World Bank (2023)
Population density	Population density (people per sq. km of land area)	World Bank (2023)
Population density, Real	Population per area unit of arable land (people per hectare)	World Bank (2023d)
Population growth	Annual percentage growth rate of population (%)	World Bank (2023)
Population sex ratio	Ratio of males to females in a country (number of males per 100 females)	United Nations (2022)
Urban population	Urban population (% of total population)	United Nations (2022)
<b>E. Other variables</b>		
Alcohol consumption per capita	Alcohol consumption per capita, recorded, age 15+ (litres of pure alcohol)	World Health Organization (2018)
Christianity	Individuals who self-identify as being Christian (% of total population)	Pew Research Center (2012)
Internet usage	Individuals who have used the Internet in the last 3 months (% of total population)	World Bank, World Development Indicators (2023c)
Islam	Individuals who self-identify as being Muslim (% of total population)	Pew Research Center (2012)
Religiously unaffiliated	Individuals who self-identify as having no religious affiliation (% of total population)	Pew Research Center (2012)

Note: Our dataset covers a cross section of 173 countries. It includes observations on 33 variables corresponding to year 2016. Exceptions are religious affiliations (year 2010) and divorce prevalence (latest year available). The units of measurement are reported in parenthesis. All flow variables are measured in *per annum* terms.

Table S.2. Summary statistics

	Mean	St. Dev.	Min.	Max.	Correlation with suicide rate						
					Crude			Age-standardized			
					Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	
<b>A. Suicide rates</b>											
Crude suicide rate, total	9.38	6.03	0.80	31.90	1.00						
Crude suicide rate, male	13.97	10.02	1.00	58.10	0.97	1.00					
Crude suicide rate, female	4.90	3.40	0.50	24.40	0.79	0.62	1.00				
Age-standardized suicide rate, total	10.70	10.02	0.33	107.10	0.51	0.42	0.61	1.00			
Age-standardized suicide rate, male	17.16	17.32	0.53	180.10	0.51	0.43	0.58	0.99	1.00		
Age-standardized suicide rate, female	4.82	4.05	0.16	41.94	0.47	0.34	0.68	0.92	0.87	1.00	
<b>B. Geo-climate variables</b>											
Absolute Latitude	25.66	16.91	0.34	64.35	0.46	0.48	0.29	0.05	0.06	0.00	
Average temperature	19.76	8.22	-3.60	29.80	-0.52	-0.53	-0.37	-0.14	-0.15	-0.10	
Maximum monthly temperature	25.65	5.42	9.50	38.90	-0.48	-0.47	-0.39	-0.18	-0.19	-0.14	
Precipitation	1.17	0.80	0.02	3.24	-0.08	-0.09	-0.01	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09	
<b>C. Macroeconomic variables</b>											
Employment in agriculture	27.47	25.06	0.12	91.37	-0.28	-0.30	-0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16	
Female labor force participation	52.18	15.43	6.17	86.05	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.18	0.16	
GDP growth	2.86	3.69	-17.04	15.20	-0.14	-0.15	-0.06	-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	
GDP per capita	19.74	20.51	0.79	118.00	0.28	0.26	0.18	-0.12	-0.13	-0.12	
Inflation	5.44	20.28	-5.58	254.95	-0.05	-0.04	-0.07	-0.02	-0.01	-0.03	
Unemployment	7.74	5.81	0.14	27.78	-0.02	-0.01	-0.00	0.28	0.30	0.20	
<b>D. Demographic variables</b>											
Age dependency ratio	60.12	18.19	17.28	106.11	-0.20	-0.23	-0.03	0.19	0.17	0.27	
Divorce, males	4.47	3.74	0.11	17.38	0.44	0.45	0.30	0.16	0.16	0.15	
Divorce, females	2.77	2.73	0.08	13.36	0.55	0.55	0.42	0.20	0.20	0.16	
Fertility rate	2.80	1.35	1.20	7.10	-0.34	-0.36	-0.16	0.14	0.12	0.22	
International migration	8.16	12.77	0.07	88.89	-0.01	-0.01	-0.07	-0.14	-0.14	-0.15	
Life expectancy at 65	15.95	2.74	11.59	22.07	0.28	0.29	0.15	-0.21	-0.21	-0.22	
Life expectancy at birth	71.74	7.90	52.04	84.07	0.20	0.24	0.02	-0.35	-0.34	-0.38	
Population aged 65+	8.36	6.21	0.83	27.95	0.53	0.55	0.36	-0.03	-0.03	-0.06	
Population density	194.35	639.47	1.95	7908.72	-0.03	-0.05	0.01	-0.09	-0.10	-0.05	
Population growth	1.42	1.32	-2.22	7.21	-0.40	-0.43	-0.24	-0.04	-0.05	0.03	
Population sex ratio	102.02	22.03	83.53	305.73	-0.23	-0.24	-0.22	-0.14	-0.16	-0.14	
Urban population	57.95	22.77	12.39	100.00	0.19	0.21	0.05	-0.19	-0.19	-0.20	
<b>E. Other variables</b>											
Alcohol consumption per capita	4.72	3.72	0.00	15.61	0.56	0.59	0.34	0.11	0.13	0.03	
Christianity	55.25	37.49	0.00	99.00	0.19	0.23	0.06	0.19	0.22	0.08	
Internet usage	49.24	28.47	1.18	98.24	0.34	0.36	0.16	-0.14	-0.13	-0.21	
Islam	26.52	37.31	0.00	99.00	-0.39	-0.39	-0.29	-0.21	-0.23	-0.12	
Religiously unaffiliated	8.29	12.87	0.00	76.40	0.47	0.46	0.38	0.12	0.12	0.13	

Note: We report sample mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum and correlation with measures of suicide mortality for each variable in the dataset. Our dataset covers a cross section of 173 countries. It includes observations on 33 variables corresponding to year 2016. Exceptions are religious affiliations (year 2010) and divorce prevalence (latest year available).

Table S.3 The list of 173 countries included

Afghanistan	Comoros	Iceland	Mozambique	Slovakia
Albania	Congo	India	Myanmar	Slovenia
Algeria	Costa Rica	Indonesia	Namibia	Solomon Islands
Angola	Croatia	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Nepal	Somalia
Argentina	Cyprus	Iraq	Netherlands	South Africa
Armenia	Czechia	Ireland	New Zealand	Spain
Australia	Côte d'Ivoire	Israel	Nicaragua	Sri Lanka
Austria	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Italy	Niger	Sudan
Azerbaijan	Denmark	Jamaica	Nigeria	Suriname
Bahamas	Djibouti	Japan	Norway	Sweden
Bahrain	Dominican Republic	Jordan	Oman	Switzerland
Bangladesh	Ecuador	Kazakhstan	Pakistan	Tajikistan
Barbados	Egypt	Kenya	Panama	Thailand
Belarus	El Salvador	Kuwait	Papua New Guinea	Timor-Leste
Belgium	Equatorial Guinea	Kyrgyzstan	Paraguay	Togo
Belize	Eritrea	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Peru	Tonga
Benin	Estonia	Latvia	Philippines	Trinidad and Tobago
Bhutan	Eswatini	Lebanon	Poland	Tunisia
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Ethiopia	Lesotho	Portugal	Turkey
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Fiji	Liberia	Qatar	Uganda
Botswana	Finland	Libya	Republic of Korea	Ukraine
Brazil	France	Lithuania	Republic of Moldova	United Arab Emirates
Brunei Darussalam	Gabon	Luxembourg	Republic of North Macedonia	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Bulgaria	Gambia	Madagascar	Romania	
Burkina Faso	Georgia	Malawi	Russian Federation	United Republic of Tanzania
Burundi	Germany	Malaysia	Rwanda	United States of America
Cabo Verde	Ghana	Maldives	Saint Lucia	Uruguay
Cambodia	Greece	Mali	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Uzbekistan
Cameroon	Guatemala	Malta	Samoa	Vanuatu
Canada	Guinea	Mauritania	Sao Tome and Principe	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Central African Republic	Guinea-Bissau	Mauritius	Saudi Arabia	Viet Nam
Chad	Guyana	Mexico	Senegal	Yemen
Chile	Haiti	Mongolia	Serbia	Zambia
China	Honduras	Montenegro	Sierra Leone	Zimbabwe
Colombia	Hungary	Morocco	Singapore	

Table S.4. Determinants of Crude Suicide Rates, 2016: BMA Estimates

	(1) Suicide rate, total		(2) Male suicide rate		(3) Female suicide rate	
	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip
Fertility	-0.150 (0.616)	0.128	-0.289 (1.070)	0.154	-0.194 (0.394)	0.248
Urban population	0.000 (0.005)	0.044	0.001 (0.009)	0.048	-0.000 (0.003)	0.043
Population sex ratio	-0.000 (0.005)	0.043	-0.002 (0.012)	0.063	0.000 (0.003)	0.046
Population growth	-0.001 (0.107)	0.044	-0.085 (0.365)	0.088	-0.007 (0.085)	0.058
Population density	0.000 (0.000)	0.039	0.000 (0.000)	0.038	0.000 (0.000)	0.044
Population aged 65+	0.526 (0.193)	<b>0.950</b>	0.654 (0.384)	<b>0.820</b>	0.180 (0.121)	<b>0.770</b>
Life expectancy at age 65	0.216 (0.394)	0.282	0.029 (0.209)	0.064	0.540 (0.380)	<b>0.746</b>
Life expectancy at birth	-0.649 (0.168)	<b>0.999</b>	-0.791 (0.268)	<b>0.961</b>	-0.456 (0.116)	<b>1.000</b>
International migration	0.001 (0.010)	0.049	0.000 (0.013)	0.041	0.001 (0.008)	0.061
Age dependency ratio	-0.124 (0.056)	<b>0.889</b>	-0.177 (0.102)	<b>0.814</b>	-0.007 (0.020)	0.176
Divorce, males	0.103 (0.214)	0.236	0.142 (0.331)	0.204	0.037 (0.106)	0.155
Divorce, females	0.028 (0.087)	0.135	0.105 (0.213)	0.244	-0.001 (0.036)	0.056
Unemployment	-0.164 (0.096)	<b>0.822</b>	-0.265 (0.163)	<b>0.799</b>	-0.003 (0.017)	0.070
Female labor force participation	-0.007 (0.021)	0.141	-0.011 (0.032)	0.137	-0.009 (0.018)	0.236
Inflation	-0.000 (0.003)	0.037	0.000 (0.005)	0.036	-0.000 (0.003)	0.044
GDP growth	-0.005 (0.029)	0.055	-0.012 (0.062)	0.069	0.000 (0.012)	0.038
GDP per capita	0.003 (0.012)	0.080	0.000 (0.010)	0.040	0.011 (0.020)	0.276
Employment in agriculture	-0.005 (0.016)	0.118	-0.004 (0.019)	0.080	-0.013 (0.019)	0.363
Maximum monthly temperature	-0.005 (0.071)	0.110	0.037 (0.166)	0.124	-0.066 (0.091)	0.404
Average temperature	-0.142 (0.106)	<b>0.730</b>	-0.223 (0.199)	<b>0.679</b>	-0.065 (0.074)	<b>0.501</b>
Precipitation	0.002 (0.131)	0.045	-0.011 (0.255)	0.049	0.052 (0.202)	0.101
Absolute latitude	0.003 (0.021)	0.083	0.011 (0.043)	0.115	0.004 (0.017)	0.089
Internet usage	0.001 (0.009)	0.054	0.001 (0.011)	0.042	0.004 (0.012)	0.120
Alcohol consumption per capita	0.215 (0.237)	<b>0.521</b>	0.779 (0.382)	<b>0.886</b>	0.001 (0.024)	0.044
Religiously unaffiliated	0.033 (0.047)	0.395	0.016 (0.044)	0.154	0.002 (0.010)	0.065
Christianity	-0.002 (0.009)	0.090	-0.000 (0.006)	0.047	-0.039 (0.013)	<b>0.958</b>
Islam	-0.006 (0.016)	0.206	-0.005 (0.016)	0.118	-0.038 (0.016)	<b>0.920</b>
Observations	173		173		173	

Note: Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), posterior mean, and standard deviation (in parenthesis) are reported for each variable. PIP>0.5 (highlighted in bold) indicates evidence for a regressor (Raftery, 1995).

Table S.5. Determinants of Age Standardized Suicide Rates, 2016: BMA Estimates

	(1) Suicide rate, total		(2) Male suicide rate		(3) Female suicide rate	
	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip
Fertility	-0.652 (1.341)	0.247	-0.925 (2.184)	0.210	-0.150 (0.360)	0.191
Urban population	-0.008 (0.025)	0.119	-0.012 (0.041)	0.118	-0.003 (0.009)	0.103
Population sex ratio	0.003 (0.015)	0.075	0.004 (0.023)	0.068	0.001 (0.004)	0.053
Population growth	-0.003 (0.164)	0.044	0.007 (0.277)	0.044	-0.009 (0.074)	0.050
Population density	0.000 (0.000)	0.046	0.000 (0.000)	0.046	0.000 (0.000)	0.068
Population aged 65+	-0.005 (0.065)	0.051	-0.017 (0.131)	0.057	0.001 (0.019)	0.041
Life expectancy at age 65	2.500 (0.755)	<b>0.978</b>	3.778 (1.434)	<b>0.943</b>	1.075 (0.266)	<b>0.994</b>
Life expectancy at birth	-1.876 (0.314)	<b>1.000</b>	-3.061 (0.573)	<b>1.000</b>	-0.728 (0.113)	<b>1.000</b>
International migration	0.005 (0.026)	0.071	0.010 (0.046)	0.075	0.001 (0.007)	0.050
Age dependency ratio	-0.133 (0.105)	<b>0.679</b>	-0.248 (0.178)	<b>0.725</b>	-0.009 (0.022)	0.180
Divorce, males	0.230 (0.398)	0.309	0.468 (0.733)	0.351	0.015 (0.067)	0.084
Divorce, females	0.019 (0.115)	0.090	0.038 (0.210)	0.097	0.005 (0.031)	0.061
Unemployment	0.247 (0.175)	<b>0.743</b>	0.558 (0.270)	<b>0.886</b>	0.018 (0.042)	0.194
Female labor force participation	-0.000 (0.013)	0.046	-0.000 (0.021)	0.044	-0.000 (0.004)	0.041
Inflation	-0.001 (0.008)	0.045	-0.001 (0.012)	0.042	-0.001 (0.004)	0.054
GDP growth	0.010 (0.057)	0.059	0.020 (0.107)	0.065	0.002 (0.018)	0.046
GDP per capita	0.003 (0.018)	0.055	0.004 (0.029)	0.054	0.001 (0.008)	0.061
Employment in agriculture	-0.018 (0.042)	0.201	-0.014 (0.049)	0.115	-0.026 (0.027)	<b>0.557</b>
Maximum monthly temperature	-0.051 (0.160)	0.147	-0.067 (0.250)	0.129	-0.016 (0.057)	0.115
Average temperature	-0.251 (0.212)	<b>0.640</b>	-0.349 (0.357)	<b>0.546</b>	-0.132 (0.077)	<b>0.798</b>
Precipitation	0.016 (0.287)	0.051	0.009 (0.468)	0.048	0.005 (0.105)	0.047
Absolute latitude	0.056 (0.098)	0.293	0.134 (0.186)	0.390	0.011 (0.028)	0.168
Internet usage	0.006 (0.026)	0.080	0.014 (0.054)	0.101	0.001 (0.006)	0.047
Alcohol consumption per capita	-0.003 (0.071)	0.048	-0.005 (0.127)	0.049	-0.003 (0.028)	0.048
Religiously unaffiliated	0.008 (0.032)	0.093	0.009 (0.045)	0.072	0.008 (0.020)	0.174
Christianity	0.001 (0.010)	0.081	0.003 (0.019)	0.091	-0.000 (0.003)	0.050
Islam	-0.021 (0.029)	0.408	-0.064 (0.058)	<b>0.629</b>	-0.001 (0.004)	0.072
Observations	173		173		173	

Note: Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), posterior mean, and standard deviation (in parenthesis) are reported for each variable. PIP>0.5 (highlighted in bold) indicates evidence for a regressor (Raftery, 1995)

Table S.6. Determinants of Crude Suicide Rates, 2016: BMA Estimates

	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	Suicide rate, total		Male suicide rate		Female suicide rate	
	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip
Fertility	-0.150 (0.617)	0.128	-0.291 (1.071)	0.154	-0.193 (0.393)	0.247
Urban population	0.000 (0.005)	0.044	0.001 (0.009)	0.048	-0.000 (0.003)	0.043
Population sex ratio	-0.000 (0.005)	0.043	-0.002 (0.012)	0.063	0.000 (0.003)	0.046
Population growth	-0.001 (0.107)	0.044	-0.085 (0.366)	0.088	-0.007 (0.085)	0.058
Real population density	9.43e-06 (1.061e-04)	0.042	1.92e-05 (1.859e-04)	0.044	2.47e-06 (5.89e-05)	0.039
Population aged 65+	0.527 (0.193)	<b>0.951</b>	0.654 (0.383)	<b>0.820</b>	0.181 (0.120)	<b>0.773</b>
Life expectancy at age 65	0.215 (0.393)	0.281	0.028 (0.209)	0.064	0.538 (0.380)	0.744
Life expectancy at birth	-0.649 (0.168)	<b>0.999</b>	-0.791 (0.268)	<b>0.962</b>	-0.456 (0.116)	<b>1.000</b>
International migration	0.001 (0.010)	0.049	0.000 (0.013)	0.041	0.001 (0.008)	0.061
Age dependency ratio	-0.124 (0.056)	<b>0.889</b>	-0.176 (0.102)	<b>0.814</b>	-0.007 (0.020)	0.176
Divorce, males	0.101 (0.212)	0.232	0.139 (0.328)	0.201	0.035 (0.104)	0.152
Divorce, females	0.028 (0.087)	0.136	0.105 (0.213)	0.245	-0.001 (0.034)	0.056
Unemployment	-0.164 (0.096)	<b>0.823</b>	-0.265 (0.163)	<b>0.800</b>	-0.003 (0.017)	0.070
Female labor force participation	-0.007 (0.021)	0.142	-0.011 (0.032)	0.138	-0.009 (0.018)	0.236
Inflation	-0.000 (0.003)	0.037	0.000 (0.005)	0.036	-0.000 (0.003)	0.044
GDP growth	-0.005 (0.029)	0.055	-0.012 (0.062)	0.069	0.000 (0.012)	0.038
GDP per capita	0.003 (0.012)	0.080	0.000 (0.010)	0.040	0.011 (0.021)	0.279
Employment in agriculture	-0.005 (0.016)	0.119	-0.004 (0.019)	0.081	-0.013 (0.019)	0.363
Maximum monthly temperature	-0.005 (0.071)	0.109	0.037 (0.166)	0.124	-0.067 (0.091)	0.405
Average temperature	-0.141 (0.106)	<b>0.729</b>	-0.223 (0.199)	<b>0.680</b>	-0.065 (0.073)	0.499
Precipitation	0.002 (0.130)	0.045	-0.011 (0.255)	0.049	0.052 (0.202)	0.101
Absolute latitude	0.003 (0.021)	0.083	0.011 (0.043)	0.116	0.004 (0.017)	0.089
Internet usage	0.001 (0.009)	0.054	0.001 (0.011)	0.042	0.004 (0.012)	0.121
Alcohol consumption per capita	0.216 (0.237)	<b>0.524</b>	0.782 (0.381)	<b>0.887</b>	0.001 (0.024)	0.044
Religiously unaffiliated	0.033 (0.047)	0.395	0.016 (0.043)	0.153	0.002 (0.010)	0.065
Christianity	-0.002 (0.009)	0.090	-0.000 (0.006)	0.047	-0.039 (0.013)	<b>0.958</b>
Islam	-0.006 (0.016)	0.205	-0.005 (0.016)	0.117	-0.038 (0.016)	<b>0.921</b>
Observations	173		173		173	

Note: An alternative to the baseline measure of population density is used. Real or physiological population density is computed as the number of people per unit area (hectare) of arable land as defined by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), posterior mean, and standard deviation (in parenthesis) are reported for each variable. PIP>0.5 (highlighted in bold) indicates evidence for a regressor (Raftery, 1995).



Table S.7. Determinants of Age Standardized Suicide Rates, 2016: BMA Estimates

	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	Suicide rate, total		Male suicide rate		Female suicide rate	
	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip
Fertility	-0.659 (1.346)	0.249	-0.931 (2.190)	0.211	-0.151 (0.360)	0.192
Urban population	-0.008 (0.025)	0.120	-0.012 (0.041)	0.117	-0.003 (0.009)	0.104
Population sex ratio	0.003 (0.015)	0.075	0.004 (0.024)	0.068	0.001 (0.004)	0.053
Population growth	-0.003 (0.165)	0.044	0.007 (0.277)	0.044	-0.009 (0.074)	0.050
Real population density	2.57e-05 (2.139e-04)	0.046	4.13e-05 (3.582e-04)	0.045	2.75e-05 (1.347e-04)	0.069
Population aged 65+	-0.005 (0.064)	0.050	-0.016 (0.129)	0.057	0.001 (0.019)	0.041
Life expectancy at age 65	2.502 (0.756)	<b>0.978</b>	3.778 (1.435)	<b>0.943</b>	1.076 (0.266)	<b>0.994</b>
Life expectancy at birth	-1.877 (0.315)	<b>1.000</b>	-3.062 (0.574)	<b>1.000</b>	-0.728 (0.112)	<b>1.000</b>
International migration	0.005 (0.026)	0.071	0.010 (0.046)	0.075	0.001 (0.007)	0.050
Age dependency ratio	-0.133 (0.105)	<b>0.676</b>	-0.247 (0.178)	<b>0.723</b>	-0.009 (0.022)	0.180
Divorce, males	0.218 (0.389)	0.295	0.447 (0.720)	0.338	0.015 (0.065)	0.082
Divorce, females	0.021 (0.115)	0.091	0.040 (0.209)	0.098	0.005 (0.031)	0.062
Unemployment	0.245 (0.175)	<b>0.739</b>	0.556 (0.271)	<b>0.884</b>	0.017 (0.041)	0.192
Female labor force participation	-0.000 (0.013)	0.046	-0.000 (0.021)	0.044	-0.000 (0.004)	0.041
Inflation	-0.001 (0.008)	0.045	-0.001 (0.012)	0.042	-0.001 (0.004)	0.054
GDP growth	0.010 (0.057)	0.059	0.020 (0.107)	0.065	0.002 (0.018)	0.046
GDP per capita	0.003 (0.018)	0.056	0.004 (0.030)	0.054	0.001 (0.008)	0.061
Employment in agriculture	-0.019 (0.043)	0.204	-0.014 (0.049)	0.116	-0.026 (0.027)	<b>0.557</b>
Maximum monthly temperature	-0.051 (0.160)	0.146	-0.067 (0.249)	0.127	-0.016 (0.057)	0.115
Average temperature	-0.251 (0.213)	<b>0.638</b>	-0.348 (0.357)	<b>0.543</b>	-0.132 (0.077)	<b>0.797</b>
Precipitation	0.016 (0.288)	0.051	0.009 (0.470)	0.048	0.005 (0.105)	0.047
Absolute latitude	0.057 (0.099)	0.297	0.136 (0.187)	0.394	0.011 (0.028)	0.169
Internet usage	0.006 (0.026)	0.081	0.015 (0.055)	0.102	0.001 (0.006)	0.047
Alcohol consumption per capita	-0.002 (0.071)	0.048	-0.005 (0.127)	0.049	-0.003 (0.028)	0.048
Religiously unaffiliated	0.008 (0.032)	0.094	0.009 (0.045)	0.073	0.008 (0.020)	0.174
Christianity	0.001 (0.010)	0.082	0.003 (0.019)	0.091	-0.000 (0.003)	0.050
Islam	-0.021 (0.030)	0.412	-0.065 (0.058)	<b>0.634</b>	-0.001 (0.004)	0.072
Observations	173		173		173	

Note: An alternative to the baseline measure of population density is used. Real or physiological population density is computed as the number of people per unit area (hectare) of arable land as defined by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), posterior mean, and standard deviation (in parenthesis) are reported for each variable. PIP>0.5 (highlighted in bold) indicates evidence for a regressor (Raftery, 1995).

Table S.8. Determinants of Age Standardized Suicide Rates, 2015-17: BMA Estimates

	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	Suicide rate, total		Male suicide rate		Female suicide rate	
	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip	mean/(se)	pip
Fertility	-0.687 (1.358)	0.259	-0.980 (2.222)	0.220	-0.158 (0.366)	0.201
Urban population	-0.009 (0.026)	0.131	-0.014 (0.044)	0.128	-0.003 (0.010)	0.113
Population sex ratio	0.003 (0.015)	0.076	0.004 (0.024)	0.069	0.001 (0.004)	0.052
Population growth	-0.003 (0.164)	0.044	0.008 (0.277)	0.044	-0.010 (0.077)	0.053
Real population density	2.54e-05 (2.115e04)	0.046	4.12e-05 (3.554e-04)	0.045	2.51e-05 (1.276e-04)	0.067
Population aged 65+	-0.006 (0.066)	0.052	-0.020 (0.139)	0.061	0.001 (0.019)	0.041
Life expectancy at age 65	2.536 (0.733)	<b>0.983</b>	3.868 (1.378)	<b>0.955</b>	1.096 (0.258)	<b>0.996</b>
Life expectancy at birth	-1.879 (0.307)	<b>1.000</b>	-3.077 (0.556)	<b>1.000</b>	-0.729 (0.110)	<b>1.000</b>
International migration	0.005 (0.026)	0.073	0.010 (0.047)	0.077	0.001 (0.007)	0.050
Age dependency ratio	-0.129 (0.105)	<b>0.665</b>	-0.244 (0.177)	<b>0.720</b>	-0.008 (0.021)	0.173
Divorce, males	0.225 (0.392)	0.304	0.466 (0.729)	0.351	0.016 (0.067)	0.086
Divorce, females	0.021 (0.115)	0.092	0.040 (0.210)	0.099	0.006 (0.033)	0.067
Unemployment	0.245 (0.173)	<b>0.744</b>	0.556 (0.267)	<b>0.888</b>	0.018 (0.041)	0.196
Female labor force participation	-0.000 (0.012)	0.046	-0.000 (0.021)	0.044	-0.000 (0.004)	0.041
Inflation	-0.001 (0.008)	0.045	-0.001 (0.012)	0.042	-0.001 (0.004)	0.055
GDP growth	0.010 (0.058)	0.060	0.021 (0.108)	0.066	0.002 (0.018)	0.047
GDP per capita	0.003 (0.018)	0.057	0.004 (0.029)	0.054	0.002 (0.008)	0.066
Employment in agriculture	-0.020 (0.044)	0.217	-0.016 (0.051)	0.124	-0.026 (0.026)	<b>0.558</b>
Maximum monthly temperature	-0.052 (0.160)	0.149	-0.070 (0.252)	0.132	-0.015 (0.055)	0.113
Average temperature	-0.243 (0.210)	<b>0.629</b>	-0.340 (0.352)	<b>0.538</b>	-0.129 (0.077)	<b>0.789</b>
Precipitation	0.014 (0.283)	0.051	0.006 (0.463)	0.048	0.005 (0.105)	0.048
Absolute latitude	0.057 (0.098)	0.301	0.133 (0.185)	0.392	0.012 (0.029)	0.183
Internet usage	0.005 (0.024)	0.077	0.013 (0.051)	0.095	0.000 (0.006)	0.046
Alcohol consumption per capita	-0.002 (0.069)	0.047	-0.004 (0.124)	0.048	-0.003 (0.026)	0.046
Religiously unaffiliated	0.010 (0.035)	0.105	0.011 (0.050)	0.083	0.007 (0.019)	0.167
Christianity	0.001 (0.010)	0.080	0.002 (0.019)	0.090	-0.000 (0.003)	0.051
Islam	-0.021 (0.029)	0.409	-0.063 (0.058)	<b>0.625</b>	-0.001 (0.004)	0.075
Observations	173		173		173	

Note: The dependent variables are annual age standardized suicide rates averaged over the years 2015-2017. An alternative to the baseline measure of population density is used. Real or physiological population density is computed as the number of people per unit area (hectare) of arable land as defined by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Posterior inclusion probability (PIP), posterior mean, and standard deviation (in parenthesis) are reported for each variable. PIP>0.5 (highlighted in bold) indicates evidence for a regressor (Raftery, 1995)

## References

- Edwards, R., Bondarenko, M., Tatem, A. J., & Sorichetta, A. (2021). *Unconstrained national population weighted density in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 and 2020 ( 1km resolution )*. <https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00702>
- Harris, I., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P., & Lister, D. (2020). Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution gridded multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 109. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0453-3>
- International Labour Organization. (2021a). *Employment in agriculture (% of total employment)* [Data file]. ILO modelled estimates database, ILOSTAT. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.AGR.EMPL.ZS>
- International Labour Organization. (2021b). *Labor force participation rate, female (% of female population ages 15+)* [Data file]. ILO modelled estimates database, ILOSTAT. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.TLF.CACT.FE.ZS>
- International Labour Organization. (2021c). *Unemployment, total (% of total labor force)* [Data file]. ILO modelled estimates database, ILOSTAT. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.UEM.TOTL.ZS>
- International Monetary Fund. (2022). *World economic outlook: Countering the cost-of-living crisis*. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2022/10/11/world-economic-outlook-october-2022>
- Pew Research Center, Forum on Religion & Public Life. (2012). *The global religious landscape: A report on the size and distribution of the world's major religious groups as of 2010*. <http://www.pewforum.org/global-religious-landscape.aspx>
- Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayesian model selection in social research. *Sociological Methodology*, 25, 111-163. <https://doi.org/10.2307/271063>
- UNDP. (2022). *Human development report 2021-22* (UNDP (United Nations Development Programme), Issue. <http://report.hdr.undp.org>
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics. (2022). *Fertility rate, total (births per woman)* [Data file]. Demographic and socio-economic indicators. <http://data.uis.unesco.org/index.aspx?queryid=239>
- United Nations, Dept. of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division,. (2017). *Trends in International Migrant Stock: The 2017 Revision (United Nations database, POP/DB/MIG/Stock/Rev.2017)*. [https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/data/estimates2/data/UN\\_MigrantStock\\_Total\\_2017.xlsx](https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/data/estimates2/data/UN_MigrantStock_Total_2017.xlsx)
- United Nations, Dept. of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division,. (2019). *World Marriage Data 2019 (POP/DB/Marr/Rev2019)*. <https://population.un.org/MarriageData/index.html>
- United Nations, Dept. of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division,. (2022). *World population prospects 2022*. United Nations Publications. <https://population.un.org/wpp/>
- World Bank. (2023). *Health, nutrition and population statistics* <https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/search/dataset/0037652/Health-Nutrition-and-Population-Statistics>
- World Bank, World Development Indicators. (2023a). *Age dependency ratio (% of working-age population)*. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.DPND>
- World Bank, World Development Indicators. (2023b). *Average precipitation in depth (mm per year)*. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.PRCP.MM>
- World Bank, World Development Indicators. (2023c). *Individuals using the Internet (% of population)* [Data file]. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IT.NET.USER.ZS>
- World Bank, World Development Indicators. (2023d). *Arable land (hectares per person)* [Data file]. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.ARBL.HA.PC>
- World Health Organization. (2018). *Global status report on alcohol and health 2018*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274603>
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Suicide worldwide in 2019: global health estimates*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/341728>.